# Distributed leadership to embed scholarship in STEM teaching teams

**ACDS Fellows** 

Tina Acuna and Jo-Anne Kelder







### Main points

- 1. According to TEQSA, scholarship:
  - Is non-negotiable, must be evidenced and supported by the institution
  - Involves every member of the teaching team
  - Is essential for quality curriculum
- 2. Curriculum, Evaluation and Research (CER-STEM) is a framework and resources that:
  - Facilitates a positive culture of ongoing and routine scholarship within a degree team
  - Focusses on enhancing student learning for the specific curriculum
  - Enables collaborative scholarship that is visible, measurable and reportable

## TEQSA Guidance Note: Scholarship

'The intent of the Standards is that scholarship that is claimed to inform teaching (or supervision) must have a demonstrable relevance to the course being taught, including scholarship relating to the process of teaching and learning in itself.'



 integration – interpreting the use of knowledge across disciplines and connecting research so that it is useful beyond discipline boundaries and can be integrated into a larger body of knowledge

### **TEQSA Guidance Note: Scholarship 2018**

# Scholarship

Making a **contribution** to the advancement of knowledge or professional practice in a field

**Transmission** of these advances through effective, contemporary approaches to teaching and learning

TEQSA (2018) Guidance Note: Scholarship v 2.5 https://www.teqsa.gov.au/latest-news/publications/guidance-note-scholarship

### For TEQSA, scholarship means:

'those activities concerned with gaining new or improved understanding, appreciation and insights into a field of knowledge, and *... includes advances in ways of teaching and learning* in the field and advances in professional practice, as well as advances in disciplinary knowledge through original research.'



Activities concerned with gaining new or improved understanding, appreciation and insights into a field of knowledge

Find out more at teqsa.gov.au/guidance-notes

### Why is this Fellowship important to the ACDS?

As the HESF and QILT bite deeper through the work of TEQSA, faculties will find themselves subject to stronger demands for evidence of quality assurance in teaching and learning.

# One high priority example concerns research and scholarly informed teaching.

The ACDS believes that Deans must consider how they might address efficiently, quality assurance demands for a visible influence of scholarship on teaching that is documented and reported.

The CER-STEM framework is one approach to provide an environment for leadership to meet the demands of TEQSA for assuring quality in teaching and learning as set out by the HESF.

*Professor John Rice, Executive Director, ACDS* 



Barriers to effective management of curriculum and teaching quality (including by scholarship)

- Individual academic's workload and employment status (casualisation)
- Silo teaching culture: "I teach a unit" vs "We teach into a degree"
- Silo SoTL culture: *ad hoc*, unit focused, person-dependency, not aligned with course or institutional priorities



### A typical schedule of activities by degree coordinators for HESF compliance

### **QUALITY ACTIVITIES CYCLE**

Quality Assurance activities required for unit and course level *curriculum compliance* 

#### **DATA AND EVIDENCE**

Generated in the process of developing, delivering, reviewing and improving unit level curricula

#### REPORTING

*Reports related to institutional* compliance (HESF)

#### Quality Cycle for institutional comprehensive course reviews



Year 5

• Course Review; Summary Unit Report (5 year trend); Evidence for Comprehensive Course Review

# CER-STEM is a *response* to the Higher Education Standards Framework

5 specific standards for scholarship (institution and individual)

- Relevant scope of activity is the degree curriculum
- Relevant unit of activity is the teaching team
- Need a planned and ethically approved approach to evaluating and researching degree curriculum

### Curriculum evaluation and research for a degree



- Iterative development of the framework, including resources
- Review and reflect on outcomes for Phase 2
- Phase 2: distil learnings and generalise for sharing

# Generalising the CER framework



#### **Bachelor Dementia Care Awards**

- 2013 Two Teaching Merit Certificates
- 2014 Institutional program award
- 2014 Australian Computing Society (Tasmanian Division) Community iAward

2016 – OLT national award





#### Quality focus: Improvement

#### **Quality objective**

 Identify and address curriculum problems (unit & course level)

#### **Collaboration focus**

SoTL

 Teaching team members provide peer support & mentoring

QUALITY

GOAL:

Enhance

Student

Learning

Quality focus: Scholarship

#### **Quality objective**

- Plan and apply scholarship to course curriculum and teaching
- Dissemination for impact

#### **Collaboration focus**

 Teaching teams form peer partnerships for scholarship, including SOTL dissemination Quality focus: Assurance

#### **Quality objective**

QA

- Assurance via internal & external peer review and benchmarking
- Identify & reward good practice Collaboration focus
- Teaching team members peer review teaching and curriculum

# Objectives of the CER STEM fellowship

- Reconceptualise the CER framework based on implementation at six higher education providers, to ensure alignment with the TEQSA Guidance Note: Scholarship
- Address barriers to uptake and address identified opportunities and strategic actions that will support institutions to incorporate scholarship into their infrastructure for learning and teaching
- Extend and contextualise the CER STEM framework in at least six Science (or Allied) Faculties/Colleges in Australian universities
- Build on national and international networks and partnerships to promote best practice in learning and teaching.

### STEM educational context

- Disciplinary research is prioritised
  - HESF focus on degree-level curriculum implies the whole team of academics
  - Value proposition for scholarship needs to resonate with academic goals and aspirations
  - Not just the remit of teaching-focussed academics (leadership opportunity?)
  - Not communicate administration for compliance
- Lack of widespread capacity/expertise in SoTL
- Lack of resources for teaching teams to evidence scholarship
  - Need to identify mechanisms to engage and reward academics who engage in SoTL



SA Online Resources http://www.acds-tlcc.edu.au/cer-stem/

### **EXAMPLE DOCUMENTS**

- Ethics application for a course (including information and consent)
- Project and research management
- Research plan
- Action plan

### DIAGRAMS

### TEMPLATES

- Action plan
- Research proposal
- Workshop PowerPoint and running sheet

### **EXPLANATORY DOCUMENTS**

- Presentation
- CER framework: structure and process elements

### Presentation and explanation of resources

- Example ethics application for a course
- Examples of how ethics: project information and consent forms for participants can be made available on an LMS
- Example and template documents (supporting resources)

# **Example ethics application**

What it provides:

Codified ethical reasoning consistent with National Statement

- Consistent structure for designing evaluation and research into a course, including example:
  - research questions
  - pedagogy for blended/online teaching
  - literature on which to base research approach
- Method for selection and recruitment of students and staff
- Protocols for establishing consent
- Protocols for data management

# Example ethics application

What it doesn't provide:

A research plan with specific objectives and/or research questions

- You still need a research design relevant to your own course
- You still need a rationale for your course-specific context
- Individuals may wish to submit an ethics application for a specific research project that does not fit the ethics approval

### Automatic approval from the Social Science HREC

- It complies with the National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research
- However different institutions and committees differ in what they expect, which are detailed in their application forms

# Online research communication (using the LMS)

### What it provides:

### information about the course curriculum research

'One stop' location for participants (students and staff) to find information about:

- Ethics participant information sheet and consent form
- The curriculum evaluation and research project aims, methods and results
- Quality improvements made in response to evaluation analysis
- Publications arising from the research

#### Your contribution to the quality and improvement of the Bachelor xxxx

The Bachelor of XXX is a first for this type of course. Continuous and ongoing information from students is necessary to make sure the course is well designed, the content is relevant and the method of teaching works for students. Our commitment to giving you a high quality course means we will frequently ask your opinion on different aspects of the content, design and delivery of the course. We will also evaluate your assessment items for evidence of effective learning. We will use a variety of methods: surveys, focus groups or interviews and analysing student assessment items. Your feedback will help us make improvements for the remainder of your course, and for future students.



Systematic gathering of feedback, or evidence, and making changes based on that evidence is part of the principle of 'evidence based practice' (collecting evidence to change practice). We hope that this will directly contribute to improved outcomes across the course, the healthcare sector

You are not obliged to consent to having your personal or student data included in research.

- To allow you to provide or withdraw consent we have a <u>Consent Status</u> module in the Research Room. This link enables you, at any time, to update your OVERALL (i.e., all data types including assessments, surveys, applicant statements) consent status for use of ALL your data.
- If you wish to vary or limit the use of your data associated with data gathered from your entry into the course please go the the Course Entry and Progression Research Consent Variation module, then select the relevant page and update your consent accordingly.
- If you wish to vary or limit the use of your data associated with individual units of study please go to the Unit Level Research Consent Variation Module, then select the relevant page and update your consent accordingly.



#### your input is invaluable

#### Publications



The focus of teaching and learning research in the XXXX is provide an evidence-base for the ongoing development of the course. We also aim to disseminate this knowledge through scholarly publications and conference proceedings. Please see below links for associated publications and conference presentations:

#### 2015

- Canty, A.J., Ziebell, J., Standish-White, S & Goldberg, L. (2015). Using recorded vigneties to enhance learning for distance students. Teaching Matters, Distinctive to us - spotlight on practice, University of Tasmania, December. <u>abstract presentation</u>
- Counsell, J., Wadwell, T., Hornsby, H. & Whannell, P. (2015). Learning Support in an online environment. Teaching Matters, Distinctive to us spotlight on practice, University of Tasmania, December. <u>abstract</u>
- Canty, A. J., Ceperkovic, H., Ziebell, J. & Goldberg, L. R. (2015) Meeting the Challenge of designing and delivering an entry level unit of study to engage and inspire learners in online neuroscience education in a Bachelor of Dementia Care. ICERI Proceedings, Seville, Spain, 18-20 November. ISBN: 978-84-608-2657-6, p3941-3951.abstract. full paper presentation
- Goldberg, L. R., & Canty, A. J. (2015). Quality assurance in online learning: The contribution of computational linguistics analysis to criterion referenced assessment. eLearning Papers, 40, January, 104, ISSN: 1887-1542, abstract full paper
- Goldberg, L. R., Canty, A. J., Elliott, K-E. (2015). Online learning in a Bachelor of Dementia Care program. Alzheimer's International Conference, Perth, Western Australia, 15-18 April. abstract. poster
- Goldberg, L.R., Carr, A. R., Canty, A. J., Elliott, K-E., & McInerney, F. (2015). Addressing the online learning needs of non-traditional students. 12th Annual Conference of the International Society for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning (ISSoTL), Melbourne, Victoria, 27-30 October (accepted). ISSoTL conference 2015
- Carr, A.R., Burke, K., Ceperkovic, H., Ceperkovic, R., Goldberg, L.R., Grace, A.A., Harper, A.D., & Price, A.D. (2015). Engaging and leading teaching teams in the development of quality curricula: A collaborative approach. 12th Annual Conference of the International Society for the Scholarship of feaching and Learning (ISSoTL). Melbourne, Victoria, 27-30 October (accepted).
- Goldberg, L.R., Carr, A.R., Canty, A.J., Klekociuk, S., Ward, D., Landowski, L., King, C., McInerney, F., & Vickers, J. (2015). Making neuroscience important and relevant: Online learning in an innovative Bachelor of Dementia Care program. *eLEOT - 2nd International Conference on e-Learning e-Education and Online Training*, Novedrate, Italy, November. Conference Proceedings to be published by Springer-Verlag. *BDC. Neuroscience paper*
- Goldberg, L.R., Carr, A.R., Canty, A.J., Klekociuk, S., Ward, D., Landowksi, L., & McInerney, F. (2015). Empowering non-traditional students: Impact of dementia education on care. 48th Annual Conference of the Australian Association of Gerontology (AAG), Alice Springs, Northern Territory, 4-6 November (accepted). <u>AAG conference abstract</u>

#### 2014

- Goldberg, L.R. & Canty, A. J. (2014). Online learning and asiprations. Aspirations Matter, November abstract. showcase presentation.
- Goldberg, L.R., & Canty, A.J. (2014). Evaluation of student learning in an online unit in the software "Leximancer." *Teaching Matters, University of Tasmania*, November, <u>abstract</u> presentation.
- Canty, A.J., King, C. E., Carr, A. R., Kelder, J-A., Price, A., Gibson, A., Carew, T., O'Reilly, J., O'Mara, C., Kirkcaldie, M., Walls, J., McInerney, F., Robinson, A. & Vickers, J. (2014). The Wicking Dementia Research and Education Centre paving the way forward in online dementia education. Society for Neuroscience Meeting, Washington D.C., USA, November, abstract.
- Canty, A.J., Carr, A.R., Elliott, K-E., Goldberg, L.R., King, C.E., Kirkcaldie, M., McInerney, F., Price, A., Robinson, A., Tolman, J. & Vickers, J.C. (2014). The Wicking Dementia Research and Education Centre paving the way forward in online dementia education. *Teaching Matters University of Tasmania*, November. Poster presentation. <u>abstract</u>.
- Carr, A.R., Grace, A, & Price, A. (2014). Employing targeted skills-based resources to supplement subject material in an online degree. Teaching Matters, University of Tasmania, November. Presentation. abstract.
- Burke, K., Harper, A., Carr, A.R., & Canty, A.J. (2014) The Bachelor of Dementia Care MyLO Common Room initiative. Teaching Matters, University of Tasmania, November. Presentation. abstract.
- Elliott, K-E., Carr, A.R., Robinson, A.L., & Vickers, J.C. (2014). What palliative care means for students int he Bachelor of Dementia Care. 49th APS Annual Conference. Psychology meeting society's challenges, 30th September-3rd October, Hobart, Tasmania.

#### 2013

Kelder, J-A., Canty, A.J., Carr, A.R., Skalicky, J., Walls, J., Robinson, A., & Vickers, J. (2013). A learning place where a high-risk student cohort can succeed: curriculum, assessment and teacher recruitment. In Frielick, S., Buissink-Smith, N., Wyse, P., Billot, J., Hallas, J. and Whitehead, E. (Eds.) Research and Development in Higher Education: The Place of Learning and Teaching, 36 (pp 253 - 265). Auckland, New Zealand, 1 – 4 July 2013. abstract. full paper.

#### 2012

 Canty, A.J. (2012). The Associate Degree in Dementia Care: An innovative and holistic approach to course design and delivery. Teaching Matters, University oF Tasmania, Launceston, November. Presentation. abstract. showcase presentation.

### **Consent and ethics**

What it provides: participant control and anonymity

- Each student can establish an overarching "consent status" for a course
- Reduces 'nuisance' factor single "consent"/"do not consent"
- Ability to specify "consent" / "do not consent" at any point of data collection
- All student data is de-identifed by independent data manager and only available after grades finalised



For further information please contact:

(Chief Investigator)

#### UNIVERSITY of TASMANIA

Template provided by the Digital Innovation Team

### Flow chart for participant consent

Course Level Consent with ability to specify Unit Level Consent

Outline parameters of research Information Sheet and Consent Form (printable or downloadable)

Online Consent Mechanism Consent/Do Not Consent to use <u>ALL</u> data Specify consent (e.g. exclude single unit of study)

Consent to Archive Data

Don't forget Staff (academic/professional) Consent

### Data management

- Collecting and organising your data sets:
  - For each subject: draw down from LMS **after** final grades released (assessment task submitted by students, feedback surveys, final grades, discussion posts ...)
  - Organise data sets how? (an opportunity for STEM!!)
- Who will manage the data sets and how:
  - Big data set expertise?
  - Ethical requirements
  - Resourcing minimising manual data handling

### **Facilitated Practical session**

- Introduction
- Workshop Activity 1: develop a research plan
- Workshop Activity 2: write an ethics application (first pass)

# Workshop Activity 1: develop a research plan

- Objectives
- Research questions
- Data you will collate (to answer your research questions)
- Analysis methods using your data
- Research Plan (milestones timelines, outputs and outcomes)

# Workshop Activity 1: Objectives

# What objectives do you have for your course curriculum? E.g.

- Quality improvement (remedial or innovation)
- Quality assurance (meeting internal and external standards)
- Research goals (Impact and effectiveness; adding to the body of knowledge (literature); good practice dissemination)

### Create S.M.A.R.T. Goals



# Workshop Activity 1: Research questions

What is/are the question(s) you need to **formulate** (ask) in order to **evaluate** (measure) or **research** (determine how and to what extent) you have achieved your objectives?

### **CHECK:**

- Are your objectives and research questions aligned
  - with each other?
  - with institutional strategic goals and/or priorities?

### Workshop Activity 1: Data collection

What data will you collect to answer your research questions?

**CHECK**: what data do you already collect as part of your curriculum evaluation (units, course)?

If you have a course evaluation plan, check for alignment and opportunities for additional data collection.

### Workshop Activity 1: Analysis

What methods will you use to analyse your data?

**CHECK**: what do you already analyse as part of your curriculum evaluation process (units and course)?

If you have an evaluation plan, check for alignment and opportunities for additional analysis.

### Workshop Activity 1: Research Plan

- What is the **timeframe** for your research project?
- What are the key milestones (tasks completed and time completed)?
- What are the outputs (things you produce / deliverables)?
- What are the **outcomes** (consequences / emergent outcomes of the research you hope for or expect)?

# Workshop Activity 2: Write an ethics application (example)

- Background and justification what is the problem you have identified and wish to investigate or solve; what is the literature you are drawing on to inform your research?
- **Research questions** do they fit the general overarching questions or do you want additional?
- Data collection any additional ones you can think of? Issues with different kinds of data (e.g. employers? Industry? Pre-entry?)

### Workshop Activity 2: Write an ethics application (example)

- Analysis methods co-investigator skills, knowledge, capabilities?
- Qualitative data analysis software tools e.g. Leximancer, Nvivo
- Quantitative data analysis software tools e.g. SPSS, R, SAS
- *Mixed methods* triangulate? Quantitative to inform qualitative and vice versa
- Writing author agreement, ethical research management
- **Dissemination** target journals, conferences
- Extension target funding bodies and grants; target awards

# Key success factors

- Collaborative culture
- Distributed leadership model
  - Opportunity for teaching only staff?
- Good research management practice
  - Explicit alignment with institutional policies; ethics approval
- Evaluation Plan
  - Aligned with HESF/University Quality Management Framework/Faculty Quality Framework
- Research Plan
  - Investigating outcomes and impact arising from opportunities for innovation or remediation; publication plan

# Challenges

- Leadership
  - Building a team-based approach
  - Planned and consistent attention to evidence-based curriculum improvements (QI) and assurance of standards (QA)
  - Strategic use of natural data from curriculum design and delivery for scholarship (SoTL)
- Students' participation
  - Ethical use of natural data (data management)
  - Building a research culture that includes students in curriculum development, QI and QA
- Institutional support
  - Recognition and reward

Wrap up and questions

### **Our invitation**

### **KEEP IN TOUCH!**

- All our work is under Creative Commons Attribution Share-Alike (CC BY-SA) license
- If you decide to use and build on our work, please let us know (fill out the 'further contact' form)

CER-STEM	<u>cer-stem@utas.edu.au</u>	
Tina Acuna	tina.acuna@utas.edu.au	
Jo-Anne Kelder	jo.kelder@utas.edu.au	BY SA
WEBSITE:	http://www.acds-tlcc.edu.au/cer-stem/	

### Another invitation

### Help us in our evaluation of the Fellowship

The resources we have developed are part of a research project investigating

- How to embed evaluation and research into curriculum design and delivery for quality improvement, quality assurance and scholarship, focused on the teaching team of an award degree curriculum
- The impact and effectiveness of designing quality enhancement using the "teaching team and the award degree curriculum" unit of analysis

### Please read the information sheet and fill out an evaluation form

### Acknowledgements

- UTAS: George Cunningham, Justin Walls, Andrea Carr, Caroline King, Bethany Lusk, Paula Swatman, Harriet Speed, Jane Skalicky, Sue Jones, Louise Wallis
- ACDS: Cristina Varsavsky, John Rice, Jen Aughterson, Stephanie Beams

